NOISE STUDY US-60 Springfield, MO

Prepared for:



MoDOT PROJECT NO. J8P3102

March 2017

Prepared by:



TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0	EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	1
2.0	PROJECT BACKGROUND	2
3.0	NOISE ENVIRONMENT	3
4.0	ANALYSIS METHODOLOGY	5
5.0	NOISE IMPACTS/ABATEMENT CONSIDERATIONS	8
6.0	CONSTRUCTION NOISE	10
LIST	OF REPORT EXHIBITS	
Exhib	pit 1 - Noise Sensitive Areas	
Exhib	oit 2 - Receiver/Noise Barrier Locations	
<u>LIST</u>	<u>OF REPORT TABLES</u>	
Table	e 1 – Receiver Data	
Table	e 2 – Barrier 1 Details	
Table	e 3 – Barrier 2 Details	

<u>APPENDIX</u>

- -Glossary
- -Field Measurement Summaries
- -Field Measurement Graphs

1.0 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This project is located on US-60 (James River Freeway) and MO-360 (James River Freeway). The limits of the project are from Route MM to Glenstone Ave. Because of the addition of auxiliary lanes, this project qualifies as a Type I Project and therefore requires a noise analysis for potential abatement measures. All areas of the project that included areas considered "Noise Sensitive" by the FHWA Noise Abatement Criteria Table were considered for noise abatement. The project area was divided into four separate Noise Sensitive Areas (NSAs).

NSAs 1 and 2 had receptors impacted by noise and were considered for noise abatement measures. After analyzing potential abatement measures, noise barriers in both NSA 1 and 2 were found to be feasible and reasonable per FHWA and MoDOT policies, pending a vote of benefitted owners and residents.

	Table 1-1											
Executive Summary Table												
	Impacted Receptors by Activity Category			Consideration of Noise	Barrier	Abatement	Benefited	Square	Square Feet/	Abatement		
NSA	В	С	Total	Abatement Warranted?	Option	Feasible?	Receptors	Feet	Benefited Receptor	Reasonable?		
1	68	-	68	Yes	1	\checkmark	40	25,440	636	✓		
2	28	8	36	Yes	2	\checkmark	12	13,000	1,083	✓		
3	I	1	0	No	-	-	-	-	-	-		
4	I	1	0	No	-	-	-	-	-	-		



2.0 PROJECT BACKGROUND

The project extends along US-60 and MO-360 in Springfield, MO. The work will consist of extending westbound and eastbound acceleration lanes at Route MM/MO-360, extending the westbound and eastbound acceleration lanes at MO-413/MO-360/US-60, extending the dual-left turn lane and extending the eastbound acceleration lane at US-60/US-160/Route FF, adding a dual left-turn lane and extending lanes on the eastbound on-ramp at US-60/MO-13 (Kansas Expressway), and adding auxiliary lanes for westbound and eastbound on US-60 between Glenstone Ave. and National Ave.

The addition of auxiliary lanes qualifies this project as a Type I project and therefore requires a noise analysis in accordance with 23 CFR 772, MoDOT Noise Policy EPG 127.13, and all Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) noise standards. Per FHWA and MoDOT regulations, if any part of a project is considered a Type I project, the entire project is considered a Type I project; therefore, all ramp extensions are also considered a Type I project. However, only the auxiliary lane addition and the eastbound on-ramp extension at the Route FF/US 160 interchange had noise sensitive receivers (shown below in boxes on Figure 2-1). Areas that did not include a noise sensitive receiver were not included in the noise analysis.



Figure 2-1 Location Map

3.0 NOISE ENVIRONMENT

The corridor consists mostly of residential areas south of US-60 and commercial zones north of US-60. To be considered for noise abatement, a receiver must be categorized under FHWA Noise Abatement Criteria (NAC) activity categories A-E, shown in Table 3-1. Building reduction factors are used when evaluating interior noise impacts for category D, shown in Table 3-2.

	Table 3-1											
	FHWA	Noise Abate	ment Criteria (Hourly A-Weighted Sound Level - Decibels)									
Activity	Activity	Evaluation	Activity Descriptions									
Category	Criteria L _{eo} (h)*	Location	Activity Descriptions									
А	57	Exterior	Lands on which serenity and quiet are of extraordinary significance and serve an important public need and where the preservation of those qualities is essential if the area is to continue to serve its intended purpose.									
В	67	Exterior	Residential.									
С	C 67 Exterior		Active sports areas, amphitheaters, auditoriums, campgrounds, cemeteries day care centers, hospitals, libraries, medical facilities, parks, picnic areas, places of worship, playgrounds, public meeting rooms, public or nonprofit institutional structures, radio studios, recording studios, recreational areas, Section 4(f) sites, schools, television studios, trails and trail crossings.									
D	52	Interior	Auditoriums, day care centers, hospitals, libraries, medical facilities, places of worship, public meeting rooms, public or nonprofit institutional structures, radio studios, recording studios, schools and television studios.									
E	72	Exterior	Hotels, motels, offices, restaurants/bars, and other developed lands, properties or activities not included in A-D or F.									
F			Agriculture, airports, bus yards, emergency services, industrial, logging, maintenance facilities, manufacturing, mining, rail yards, retail facilities, shipyards, utilities (water resources, water treatment, electrical) and warehousing.									
G			Undeveloped lands that are not permitted.									

*Approaching NAC is defined by MoDOT as being 1 dBA less than the NAC for Activity Categories A-E

The project area was divided into four separate NSAs to be analyzed, as seen on Exhibit 1 (NSA 4 not pictured). Only receivers within 500 feet of the modified roadways were included, as sufficient

Table 3-2										
Building Noise Reduction Factors										
Duilding Type	Window Condition	Noise Reduction Due to the								
Building Type	window Condition	Exterior of the Structure								
All	Open	10 dB								
Light Framo	Ordinary Sash	20 dB								
Light Frame	Storm Windows	25 dB								
Macanny	Single Glazed	25 dB								
iviasonry	Double Glazed	35 dB								



evidence indicates the Traffic Noise Model (TNM) software is not reliable beyond this distance. The number of equivalent residential receptors for non-residential land uses was determined by the frontage length compared to the average frontage of nearby residential properties. Fifty feet was used as the average frontage for calculations based on a selected sample of single-family homes in the study area. See Table 3-3 for all Equivalent Receptors Assumptions.

NSA 1 is the area south of US-60 between the Fremont Ave bridge and Kentwood Ave. One hundred five receptors are in the area, including 42 receptors at the Fremont Landing apartments, 36 receptors at multi-family homes, 22 single family homes, and 5 equivalent receptors at the playground of the Disney Elementary School. Receptors at the Fremont Landing Apartments were modeled on multiple stories at individual balconies.

Directly to the east of NSA 1 is NSA 2. Sixty-three total receptors are in the area, including 7 equivalent receptors at the baseball field of the Campbell United Methodist Church, 48 at the Hawthorn Suites apartments, and 8 total equivalent receptors at the YMCA baseball fields. Receptors at the Hawthorn Suites Apartments were modeled on multiple stories at individual balconies.

Across US-60 on the north side is NSA 3. The majority of the buildings in this area are not considered noise sensitive. There is a Panda Express and a Neighbor's Mill Bakery & Café in the project area that have outdoor seating for patrons. These restaurants were both analyzed under Category C.

1	NSA	4	is	the	area	near	the	eastbound	on-ramp	extension	at the	US-60	and	US-1	60
intercha	inge.	. Tł	ne .	Jam	es Riv	ver Ch	urch	West Cam	pus was a	nalyzed for	interio	r noise i	mpa	cts.	

	Table 3-3											
Equivalent Receptor Assumptions												
A 1	Receiver	# of Receptors	Description									
NS	Disney Elementary	5	250 feet of frontage / 50 feet of average frontage									
	Receiver	# of Receptors	Description									
A 2	Campbell United Methodist Church	7	330 feet of frontage / 50 feet of average frontage									
NS	YMCA Baseball Field 1	4	200 feet of frontage / 50 feet of average frontage									
	YMCA Baseball Field 2	4	200 feet of frontage / 50 feet of average frontage									
S	Receiver	# of Receptors	Description									
ISA	Panda Express	4	180 feet of frontage / 50 feet of average frontage									
۷	Neighbor's Mill Bakery & Café	6	300 feet of frontage / 50 feet of average frontage									
A 4	Receiver	# of Receptors	Description									
NS	James River Church West Campus	13	650 feet of frontage / 50 feet of average frontage									



4.0 ANALYSIS METHODOLOGY

Extech HD600 Datalogging Sound Level Meters were used and calibrated before each noise measurement, completed on December 1st and 2nd of 2016. Readings were taken at five different locations in the study area (see Exhibit 2) in 15-minute intervals while traffic along US-60 was counted by lane and categorized by cars, medium trucks, heavy trucks and motorcycles (see Table 4-1).



	Table 4-1											
	Validation Measurement Traffic Counts											
Field				Hourl	y Traffic Ba	ased on Co	ncurrent T	raffic Coun	ts (1)			
Measurement	Date	Time Period	Westbound US-60 (2)				Eastbound US-60 (2)					
Locations			Autos	MT	HT	MC	Autos	MT	HT	МС		
1/2	12/1/2016	3:45-4:00 PM	2720	72	52	0	2812	100	56	0		
3/4	12/1/2016	4:25-4:40 PM	3068	40	48	0	2908	48	76	0		
5	12/1/2016	5:15-5:30 PM	812	138	28	0	848	12	20	0		
Field			Hourly Traffic Based on Concurrent Traffic Counts (1)									
Measurement	Date	Time Period	1	Westboun	d US-60 (2)			Eastbound	ind US-60 (2)			
Locations			Autos	MT	HT	MC	Autos	MT	HT	МС		
1/2	12/2/2016	11:25-11:40 AM	1816	36	40	0	1916	52	96	12		
3/4	12/2/2016	10:45-11:00 AM	2060	60	64	4	1540	52	116	0		
5	12/2/2016	9:55-10:10 AM	424	4	52	0	476	20	48	0		
NOTES:												
MT	= Medium Tr	ucks	нт	= Heavy Tru	cks		м	C = Motorcy	des			
FHWA TNM = Fede	eral Highway	Administration Tra	affic Noise N	/lodel								
(1) Hourly volume	s derived by	extrapolation of co	ounts taken	during 15-m	ninute perio	d to a one-ł	nour value					
(2) Sum of volume	e from both l	anes, including ram	ps where a	pplicable. In	dividual lane	s counted in	n field and n	nodeled as s	uch in TNM.			

The FHWA Traffic Noise Model, TNM 2.5, was used to model all relevant roadways, receivers, terrain lines, barriers, building rows, and ground zones in the project area for the existing condition. The field measurements and their corresponding traffic counts validated the model by ensuring less than a 3 dBA difference between the measured noise levels and the modeled noise levels at each location, as shown in Table 4-2.



Table 4-2												
Measurement and Validation Data												
Et al al	S	hort-Term Meas	urements		N a ann an A							
Field			Measured Noise	FHWA TNM L _{eq} (h)	Measured Minus Modeled Noise Levels dB							
Measurement	Date	Time Period	Levels (dBA)	Noise Levels dBA								
Locations			L _{eq}									
1	12/1/2016	3:45-4:00 PM	73.4	73.7	-0.3							
2	12/1/2016	3:45-4:00 PM	78.9	76.6	2.3							
3	12/1/2016	4:25-4:40 PM	79.6	76.7	2.9							
4	12/1/2016	4:25-4:40 PM	76.6	75.3	1.3							
5	12/1/2016	5:15-5:30 PM	71.2	69.9	1.3							
1	12/2/2016	11:25-11:40 AM	72.6	72.6	0.0							
2	12/2/2016	11:25-11:40 AM	77.7	75.4	2.3							
3	12/2/2016	10:45-11:00 AM	77.9	75.2	2.7							
4	12/2/2016	10:45-11:00 AM	74.7	73.8	0.9							
5	12/2/2016	9:55-10:00 AM	66.2	68.7	-2.5							
L _{eq} = Equ	uivalent No	ise Level	dB = Decibel	dBA = A-weighte	ed Sound Level							
		L _{ec}	$(h) = Hourly L_{eq}$									

After validating the model, existing noise levels at each receiver were obtained. Receptors at single-family homes were modeled on the side of the house closest to US-60. At multi-family buildings with balconies, receptors were modeled at each individual balcony. However, per MoDOT policy, only first-story receptors were considered when attempting to benefit impacted residents. For receivers such as churches/restaurants/daycares/etc., an equivalent number of residential receptors were placed at an area of frequent human use. Traffic volumes based on the 2018 construction year were used. The distribution of traffic between lanes was determined from an existing PM peak hour count.

Next, using the TNM 2.5 software, the future auxiliary lane between National Ave and Glenstone Ave and the eastbound on-ramp extension at Highway FF was input into the future model. In addition, widening towards the median in both directions planned for the future was included. The previously modeled receivers, terrain lines, barriers, building rows, and ground zones were included along with any necessary adjustments for the future roadway. Future volumes were adjusted to the 2038 projections.

When traffic noise impacts were identified, noise abatement was then evaluated for feasibility and reasonableness. To be considered impacted, a receptor must have an L_{eq} at the loudest traffic noise hour approaching (within 1 dBA) or exceeding the NAC for the corresponding land use category, or exceeding existing noise levels by 15 dBA.



For noise abatement to be feasible, MoDOT requires at least a 5 dBA insertion loss for a minimum of 67 percent of first-row, impacted receptors. Feasibility also refers to the engineering limitations, including the physical constraints and other constructability constraint limits such as maintenance, drainage, safety, etc.

If a noise barrier is considered feasible, it is then checked for three mandatory reasonableness factors, all of which must be met. First, noise abatement measures cannot exceed 1300 ft² of noise wall or \$36,000 of alternative noise abatement measures, per benefitted receptor. A benefitted receptor is defined as a receptor that receives at least a 7 dBA reduction in noise level after the addition of noise abatement measures. Secondly, noise abatement must provide a 7 dBA reduction for a minimum of 67 percent of first-row benefitted receptors. Finally, viewpoints of first-row, benefitted owners and residents will be acquired. The viewpoints of non-owner residents will be evaluated as a portion of an aggregate of 25 percent of the total. The viewpoints of owners will be evaluated as a portion of an aggregate of 75 percent of that total. Over 50 percent of the aggregate response must be in favor of abatement.

5.0 NOISE IMPACTS/ABATEMENT CONSIDERATIONS

One hundred ninety-one receptors were evaluated for noise impacts along the corridor. By NAC criteria, 104 were found to be impacted. No receptors were found to have an increase of 15 dBA over existing noise levels. For each NSA, impacted receptors and noise barrier options are detailed below and displayed on Exhibit 2. See Table 1 for individual receptor data.

NSA 1:

Sixty-eight receptors are impacted in the area. This includes all 42 receptors at the Fremont Landing Apartments, 20 receptors at the multi-family units along Redrex St, and 6 single family homes.

Noise barriers were analyzed 5' off the right-of-way to allow for access and maintenance. A noise barrier along the shoulder of eastbound US-60 was analyzed near the Fremont Landing Apartments. Barrier 1 is a 2,550' long barrier with an average height of 10'. See Table 2 for full barrier details. Forty receptors receive a 7 dBA or greater insertion loss, including all 26 impacted receptors at single and multi-family homes and all 14 impacted receptors on the first floor of the Fremont Landing Apartments. With an average of 636 ft² per benefitted receptor, Barrier 1 is both feasible and reasonable, pending a vote of first-row benefitted owners and residents.

NSA 2:

Thirty-six receptors are impacted, including 28 units at the Hawthorn Suites, and all 8 equivalent receptors at the YMCA baseball fields. Among the 28 units at the Hawthorn Suites are all 16 north-facing units in Building 7. Twelve of the east-facing units in Building 6 are impacted receptors, but none of these are on the first-story.

Barrier 2 is a continuation of Barrier 1 and was also analyzed 5' off the right-of-way. The barrier is 1000' long with an average height of 13'. See Table 3 for full barrier details. The barrier height was limited to a maximum of 10' near a transmission line crossing just west of the Hawthorne Suites. Twelve receptors are benefitted with an average of 1,083 ft² per benefitted



receptor. Therefore, Barrier 2 is also both feasible and reasonable, pending a vote of first-row benefitted owners and residents.

NSA 3:

No receptors were found to be impacted.

NSA 4:

No receptors were found to be impacted.



6.0 CONSTRUCTION NOISE

As is required by 23 CFR 772.19, the temporary increase in noise levels due to construction was considered. These noise impacts will take place in the immediate vicinity of the construction activities and generally be limited to working hours. The figure below shows some typical operating noise levels at a distance of 50 feet. MoDOT construction specifications require all construction equipment to be in good working order. Mufflers are required to help reduce and address construction noise impacts. Interference with speech communication for those passing by, working, or living near the construction sites is to be expected. Overall, however, because of the distance of the construction areas to each NSA and the hours of equipment use, noise impacts due to construction are expected to be minor and to occur infrequently.

			Figure	e 6-1				
	С	onstruct	ion Equipr	ment Sou	ind Levels			
				N	IOISE LEVEL (di	3A) AT 15m (50	Oft)	
		60	7	0	80	90	100) 110
Equipment Powered	d by Internal Combustion En	gine						
Earth Moving	Compactors (Rollers							
	Front Loaders							
	Backhoes							
	Tractors							
	Scapers, Graders							
	Pavers							
	Trucks							
Materials Handling	Concrete Mixers							
	Concrete Pumps							
	Cranes (Movable)							
	Cranes (Derrick)							
Stationary	Pumps							
	Generators							
	Compressors							
Impact Equipment								
	Pnuematic Wrenches							
	Jack Hammers, Rock Drills							
	Pile Drivers (Peaks)							
Other Equipment								
	Vibrator							
	Saws							

*SOURCE: U.S. Report to the President and Congress on Noise, February, 1972



Exhibit 1 - Noise Sensitive Area Map US-60 Traffic Noise Study







Not To Scale

*NSA 4 near US-60 and US-160 interchange not shown.







NOTE: Noise Levels Based On 2038 Traffic Projections



Legend

- **Non-Impacted Receiver**
- **Impacted Receiver**
- Non-Impacted Receiver (Multiple Receptors)
- Impacted Receiver (Multiple Receptors)
- **Benefitted Receptor**
- Sound Wall
- Field Measurement Location

*NSA 4 near US-60 and US-160 interchange not shown.

	Table 1 - Receiver Data									
	Posoivor	Dwelling	Existing Level	Future Level	Increase	Reduction	Impact	Cotogony		
	Receiver	Units	(dBA)	(dBA)	(dBA)	(dBA)	Crit.	Category		
	Disney Elementary	5	49.2	50.2	1.0	0.4	66	С		
	Fremont Landing B11 & B12	2	75.4	76.2	0.8	7.0	66	В		
	Fremont Landing B21 & B22	2	75.6	76.8	1.2	0.0	66	В		
	Fremont Landing B31 & B32	Landing B11 & B12 2 73.4 Landing B21 & B22 2 75.6 Landing B31 & B32 2 75.5 Landing B13 & B14 2 75.3 Landing B23 & B24 2 75.6		76.6	1.1	0.0	66	В		
	Fremont Landing B13 & B14	2	75.3	75.6	0.3	10.4	66	В		
	Fremont Landing B23 & B24	2	75.6	76.8	1.2	0.0	66	В		
	Fremont Landing B33 & B34	2	75.5	76.7	1.2	0.0	66	В		
	Fremont Landing B15 & B16	2	75.3	75.6	0.3	11.6	66	В		
	Fremont Landing B25 & B26	2	75.6	76.8	1.2	0.0	66	В		
	Fremont Landing B35 & B36	2	75.5	76.7	1.2	0.0	66	В		
	Fremont Landing A11 & A12	2	75.2	75.6	0.4	9.4	66	В		
	Fremont Landing A21 & A22	2	75.5	76.7	1.2	0.0	66	В		
	Fremont Landing A31 & A32	2	75.4	76.6	1.2	0.0	66	В		
	Fremont Landing A13 & A14	2	75.2	75.6	0.4	9.7	66	В		
	Fremont Landing A23 & A24	2	75.5	76.7	1.2	0.0	66	В		
	Fremont Landing A33 & A34	2	75.4	76.6	1.2	0.0	66	В		
	Fremont Landing A15 & A16	2	75.1	75.4	0.3	10.3	66	В		
	Fremont Landing A25 & A26	2	75.4	76.6	1.2	0.0	66	В		
	Fremont Landing A35 & A36	2	75.3	76.6	1.3	0.0	66	В		
	Fremont Landing A17 & A18	2	74.7	75	0.3	11.3	66	В		
	Fremont Landing A27 & A28	2	75.4	76.6	1.2	0.1	66	В		
	Fremont Landing A37 & A38	2	75.4	76.6	1.2	0.0	66	В		
	1573 Redrex St B1 & B2	2	59.5	61	1.5	6.9	66	В		
	1573 Redrex St B3 & B4	2	67.4	68.5	1.1	9.2	66	В		
	15/3 Redrex St A1 & A2	2	63	63.5	0.5	6.3	66	В		
	15/3 Redrex St A3 & A4	2	70.1	/1	0.9	8.8	66	В		
	15/5 & 15/7 Redrex St	2	70.8	/1.8	1.0	8.3	66	В		
	15/9 & 1581 Redrex St	2	/1.8	72.6	0.8	8.2	66	В		
	1583 & 1585 Redrex St	2	72.7	/3.3	0.6	8.4	66	В		
1	1587 & 1589 Reurex St	2	73.4	73.7	0.3	0.4 0.2	00	В		
SA	1591 & 1593 Reurex St	2	73.4	73.0	0.2	8.3 9.0	00	B		
Z	1595 & 1597 Reulex St	2	74.1	74.5	0.2	0.0	66	D		
	1602 & 1605 Podrov St	2	73.6	73.0	0.4	0.0	66	D		
	1003 & 1003 Rediex St 4007 & 4013 S Warmwater Ave	2	50.8	51.5	0.3	9.5	66	B		
	4017 & 4023 S Warmwater Ave	2	48.2	49	0.7	0.0	66	B		
	4027 & 4033 S Warmwater Ave	2	47.4	48.3	0.0	0.0	66	B		
	4006 & 4012 S Warmwater Ave	2	53	53.9	0.9	0.6	66	B		
	4016 & 4022 S Warmwater Ave	2	47.1	48.1	1.0	0.0	66	B		
	4026 & 4032 S Warmwater Ave	2	47.8	48.6	0.8	0.0	66	B		
	4005 S Delaware Ave	1	56.2	56.9	0.7	1.7	66	B		
	4017 S Delaware Ave	1	55.5	56.6	1.1	3.4	66	В		
	4027 S Delaware Ave	1	53.1	54	0.9	0.5	66	В		
	4006 S Delaware Ave	1	66.8	67.2	0.4	7.1	66	В		
	4018 S Delaware Ave	1	59	59.8	0.8	5.1	66	В		
	4028 S Delaware Ave	1	56.4	57.3	0.9	4.2	66	В		
	4040 S Delaware Ave	1	54.1	55	0.9	3.8	66	В		
	4005 S Fairway Ave	1	69	69.5	0.5	7.9	66	В		
	4017 S Fairway Ave	1	61.9	62.7	0.8	5.9	66	В		
	4027 S Fairway Ave	1	57.9	59	1.1	4.7	66	В		
	4039 S Fairway Ave	1	56.1	57.3	1.2	4.2	66	В		
	4006 S Fairway Ave	1	71.3	71.6	0.3	9.2	66	В		
	4018 S Fairway Ave	1	62.3	63.1	0.8	6.3	66	В		
	4028 S Fairway Ave	1	58.8	59.8	1.0	4.9	66	В		
	4040 S Fairway Ave	1	56.3	57.5	1.2	4.0	66	В		
	4005 S Kentwood Ave	1	71.8	72.2	0.4	10.1	66	В		
	4027 S Kentwood Ave	1	60	61.1	1.1	5.2	66	В		
	4039 S Kentwood Ave	1	56.4	57.5	1.1	4.0	66	В		
	4006 S Kentwood Ave	1	75.1	75.4	0.3	12.8	66	В		
	4018 S Kentwood Ave	1	65.6	66.7	1.1	7.4	66	В		
	4028 S Kentwood Ave	1	61.8	63.1	1.3	4.4	66	В		
	4040 S Kentwood Ave	1	58.9	60.4	1.5	4.5	66	В		

			Table 1	- Receiver Data	(continued)				
	Receiver Dwelling Existing Level Future Level Increase Reduction							Impact	C -1
	Receiver		Units	(dBA)	(dBA)	(dBA)	(dBA)	Crit.	Category
	Campbell United Methodist Church		7	62.2	63	0.8	4.7	66	С
	Hawthorn Suites Building 7 Floor 1 A		1	74.1	74.3	0.2	7.7	66	В
	Hawthorn Suites Building 7 Floor 1 B		1	73.9	74	0.1	8.3	66	В
	Hawthorn Suites Building 7 Floor 1 C		1	73.8	73.8	0.0	8.4	66	В
	Hawthorn Suites Building 7 Floor 1 D		1	73.9	74	0.1	8.1	66	В
	Hawthorn Suites Building 7 Floor 2 A		1	74.9	76	1.1	0.1	66	В
	Hawthorn Suites Building 7 Floor 2 B		1	74.8	75.9	1.1	0.0	66	В
	Hawthorn Suites Building 7 Floor 2 C		1	74.8	75.9	1.1	0.1	66	В
	Hawthorn Suites Building 7 Floor 2 D		1	74.8	75.9	1.1	0.1	66	В
	Hawthorn Suites Building 7 Floor 3 A		1	75	76.2	1.2	0.0	66	В
	Hawthorn Suites Building 7 Floor 3 B		1	74.9	76.2	1.3	0.0	66	В
	Hawthorn Suites Building 7 Floor 3 C		1	74.9	76.2	1.3	0.0	66	В
	Hawthorn Suites Building 7 Floor 3 D		1	74.9	76.2	1.3	0.0	66	В
	Hawthorn Suites Building 7 Floor 4 A		1	74.9	76.1	1.2	0.0	66	В
	Hawthorn Suites Building 7 Floor 4 B		1	74.8	76.1	1.3	0.0	66	В
	Hawthorn Suites Building 7 Floor 4 C		1	74.8	76	1.2	0.0	66	В
	Hawthorn Suites Building 7 Floor 4 D		1	74.8	76	1.2	0.0	66	В
	Hawthorn Suites Building 6 Floor 1 A		1	57.2	56.8	0.0	2.7	66	В
	Hawthorn Suites Building 6 Floor 1 B		1	57.5	56.9	0.0	3.1	66	В
	Hawthorn Suites Building 6 Floor 1 C		1	56.5	56.7	0.2	2.6	66	В
	Hawthorn Suites Building 6 Floor 1 D		1	56	56.3	0.3	2.6	66	В
	Hawthorn Suites Building 6 Floor 2 A		1	61.6	61.3	0.0	6.3	66	В
	Hawthorn Suites Building 6 Floor 2 B		1	61.2	60.9	0.0	6.4	66	В
	Hawthorn Suites Building 6 Floor 2 C		1	60.6	60.3	0.0	5.8	66	В
2	Hawthorn Suites Building 6 Floor 2 D		1	59.9	59.6	0.0	5.6	66	В
VSA	Hawthorn Suites Building 6 Floor 3 A		1	62.3	62.6	0.3	5.7	66	В
-	Hawthorn Suites Building 6 Floor 3 B		1	62.3	62.5	0.2	5.8	66	В
	Hawthorn Suites Building 6 Floor 3 C		1	62	62.1	0.1	5.9	66	В
	Hawthorn Suites Building 6 Floor 3 D		1	61.7	61.8	0.1	6.0	66	В
	Hawthorn Suites Building 6 Floor 4 A		1	62.6	63.4	0.8	3.5	66	В
	Hawthorn Suites Building 6 Floor 4 B		1	62.5	63.2	0.7	4.0	66	В
	Hawthorn Suites Building 6 Floor 4 C		1	62.3	62.9	0.6	4.8	66	В
	Hawthorn Suites Building 6 Floor 4 D		1	62	62.5	0.5	4.9	66	В
	Hawthorn Suites Building 6 Floor 1 E		1	63.2	64.1	0.9	4.8	66	В
	Hawthorn Suites Building 6 Floor 1 F		1	62.1	63.1	1.0	4.6	66	В
	Hawthorn Suites Building 6 Floor 1 G		1	61	62.3	1.3	4.5	66	В
	Hawthorn Suites Building 6 Floor 1 H		1	60.3	61.8	1.5	4.0	66	В
	Hawthorn Suites Building 6 Floor 2 E	_	1	68.2	68.3	0.1	5.9	66	В
	Hawthorn Suites Building 6 Floor 2 F		1	67.4	67.6	0.2	6.0	66	В
	Hawthorn Suites Building 6 Floor 2 G		1	65.7	66	0.2	0.0 E.C	66	В
	Hawthorn Suites Building 6 Floor 2 F		1	68.6	60.2	0.5	2.0	60	B
	Hawthorn Suites Building 6 Floor 2 F		1	60.0	09.2 69.5	0.0	2.5	66	D
	Hawthorn Suites Building 6 Floor 2 C		1	67 5	67.0	0.5	5.0	66	D
	Hawthorn Suites Building 6 Floor 2 H	-	1	67.1	67.3	0.4	4.0	66	D
	Hawthorn Suites Building 6 Floor 4 F		1	68.7	69.8	1 1	1.5	66	R
	Hawthorn Suites Building 6 Floor 4 E		1	68.2	69.0	0.9	2.1	66	B
	Hawthorn Suites Building 6 Floor 4 G	\vdash	1	67.7	68.4	0.7	2.1	66	B
	Hawthorn Suites Building 6 Floor 4 H	\vdash	1	67.2	67.9	0.7	3.2	66	R
	YMCA Baseball Field 1		4	72.5	72.5	0.0	8.5	66	C C
	YMCA Baseball Field 2		4	67.7	68.5	0.8	7.0	66	C.
m	Panda Express		1	60.4	61.6	1.2	N/A	71	F
SA			+	00.4	01.0	1.2	N/A	71	
4 N	Neighbor's Mill Bakery & Caté	-	6	57.1	58.5	1.4	N/A	71	E
NSA	James River Church West Campus		13	65.3	66.8	1.5	N/A	76	D

Table 2												
Barrier 1												
Segment Number	Station (US-60)	Segment Length	Wall Height (ft)	Square Footage								
	199+25											
1		1450	8	11600								
	213+96											
2		100	10	1000								
	215+00											
3		300	12	3600								
	218+05											
4		550	14	7700								
	223+53											
5		85	12	1020								
	224+38											
6		65	8	520								
	225+03											

Benefited Receptors: 40

Area (ft²): 25,440 636 ft² / receptor

Table 3										
Barrier 2										
Segment Number	Station (US-60)	Segment Length	Wall Height (ft)	Square Footage						
	225+03									
1		250	8	2000						
	227+53									
2		50	10	500						
	228+03									
3		50	12	600						
	228+53									
4		100	14	1400						
	229+53									
5		150	16	2400						
	231+03									
6		200	18	3600						
	233+02									
7		100	14	1400						
	234+02									
8		50	12	600						
	234+52									
9		50	10	500						
	235+02									

Benefited Receptors: 12 Area (ft²): 13,000 1,083 ft² / receptor

APPENDIX

- Glossary
- Field Measurement Summaries
- Field Measurement Graphs



Glossary

Alternative Noise Abatement Measures: Any method of noise abatement other than a noise wall, such as an earth berm.

Benefitted Receptor: The recipient of an abatement measure that receives a noise reduction at or above the minimum threshold of 7 dB(A).

Feasibility: Consideration of engineering factors and other constraints as they related to construction of noise abatement.

First-row Receptor: Noise-sensitive receptors that face project roadways and abut the roadway right-of-way without substantial visual occlusion from traffic noise. In the case of multi-story buildings, only ground level receptors are considered to be first-row.

Impacted Receptor: Any receptor that has an average hourly noise level at the loudest traffic noise hour approaching (within 1 dB) or exceeding the Noise Abatement Criteria Table for the corresponding land use category, or exceeding existing noise levels by 15 dBA.

Reasonableness: The combination of social, economic, and environmental factors considered in the evaluation of a noise abatement measure. Viewpoints of owners and residents of the benefitted receptors will be obtained. Noise abatement measures shall not exceed 1,300 square feet per benefitted receptor, in the case of noise walls. Where noise walls are not options, other noise abatement techniques may be considered, but cannot exceed \$36,000 per benefitted receptor.

Receiver: The modeling location of a receptor or a group of receptors.

Receptor: A dwelling unit. Single family homes represent one receptor, and multi-family homes have a receptor for each individual unit. For non-residential land uses, an equivalent number of residential receptors is calculated based on average frontage length.



Field Measurement Summaries

Meter 1			Meter 2			Meter 3			Meter 4			Meter 5		
		1-Dec-16												
Maximum Value =	77.8	dBA	Maximum Value =	83.6	dBA	Maximum Value =	87.6	dBA	Maximum Value =	84.7	dBA	Maximum Value =	83.3	dBA
Minimum Value =	68.0	dBA	Minimum Value =	71.6	dBA	Minimum Value =	71.6	dBA	Minimum Value =	67.5	dBA	Minimum Value =	62.4	dBA
Median Value =	73.1	dBA	Median Value =	78.7	dBA	Median Value =	79.5	dBA	Median Value =	76.1	dBA	Median Value =	69.4	dBA
L10 =	74.9	dBA	L10 =	80.7	dBA	L10 =	81.5	dBA	L10 =	78.8	dBA	L10 =	74.3	dBA
Average Value (L _{eq})=	73.4	dBA	Average Value (L _{eq})=	78.9	dBA	Average Value (L _{eq})=	79.6	dBA	Average Value (L _{eq})=	76.6	dBA	Average Value (L _{eq})=	71.2	dBA
TNM Modeled (L _{eq}) =	73.7	dBA	TNM Modeled (L _{eq}) =	76.6	dBA	TNM Modeled $(L_{eq}) =$	76.7	dBA	TNM Modeled (L _{eq}) =	75.3	dBA	TNM Modeled (L _{eq}) =	69.9	dBA
Difference =	-0.3	dBA	Difference =	2.3	dBA	Difference =	2.9	dBA	Difference =	1.3	dBA	Difference =	1.3	dBA
-														
Meter 1			Meter 2			Meter 3			Meter 4			Meter 5		
		2-Dec-16												
Maximum Value =	78.4	dBA	Maximum Value =	90.9	dBA	Maximum Value =	87.3	dBA	Maximum Value =	86.5	dBA	Maximum Value =	78.0	dBA
Minimum Value =	64.0	dBA	Minimum Value =	63.5	dBA	Minimum Value =	67.8	dBA	Minimum Value =	66.3	dBA	Minimum Value =	52.6	dBA
Median Value =	72.1	dBA	Median Value =	77.0	dBA	Median Value =	77.5	dBA	Median Value =	73.7	dBA	Median Value =	63.7	dBA
L10 =	74.8	dBA	L10 =	80.0	dBA	L10 =	80.3	dBA	L10 =	77.1	dBA	L10 =	70.0	dBA
Average Value (L _{eq})=	72.6	dBA	Average Value (L _{eq})=	77.7	dBA	Average Value (L _{eq})=	77.9	dBA	Average Value (L _{eq})=	74.7	dBA	Average Value (L _{eq})=	66.2	dBA
TNM Modeled (L _{eq}) =	72.6	dBA	TNM Modeled (L _{eq}) =	75.4	dBA	TNM Modeled (L _{eq}) =	75.2	dBA	TNM Modeled (L _{eq}) =	73.8	dBA	TNM Modeled (L _{eq}) =	68.7	dBA
Difference =	0.0	dBA	Difference =	23	dBA	Difference =	27	dBA	Difference =	0.9	dBA	Difference =	-2.5	dBA





















